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The Historical Framework

The politico-religious status of Jerusalem in the Muslim world was established at the beginning of the second/eighth century, during the Umayyad period (661–750). However, from the mid-eighth century, and even prior to it, Jerusalem lost its central political, though not religious, status, and throughout most of the Middle Ages it was an outlying city of diminished importance.

The effort made by the Umayyads to exalt and glorify the religious and political status of Jerusalem was enormous. The evidence for this is to be found in the scope of the Umayyad building program in Jerusalem, in the sanctification of the Haram, and in the rituals instituted there. The building program included not only Qubbat al-Šakhra and al-Masjid al-Aqṣā, but also the smaller-domed buildings on the Haram (Qubbat al-Silsila, Qubbat al-Nabī, Qubbat al-Mi’rāj; the Haram wall with its holy gates, which have combined Jewish and Islamic resonances (Bāb al-Nabī, Bāb al-Sakīna, Bāb Ḥiṭṭa); the six large structures outside the Haram, including the large two-storied palace, from the second floor of which a bridge led apparently to al-Aqṣā Mosque; and, finally, the roads to and from Jerusalem built and repaired by ‘Abd al-Malik.

This intense building activity must be seen in the context of the sanctification of the Haram and the rituals performed there. Although there is no explicit written testimony that the Umayyads considered Jerusalem to be their capital, their extraordinary investment of material and human resources in the city leaves no doubt that this was so. Certainly, at the local level, it would seem that the city was for some time the political and administrative center of the district (jund) of Filastīn. The abundance of “Traditions in Praise of Je-
rusalem,” including the exegeses of passages of the Qurʾān which are devoted to the city, and the “historical” traditions concerning the conquest of the city and the peace treaty granted it, all belong to this concerted effort on the part of the first Umayyads to give exceptional status to Jerusalem.

It therefore seems evident that the Umayyads intended to develop Jerusalem into both a political and religious center which, if not intended to surpass Mecca, would at least be its equal. This effort began with the reign of Muʿawiyah b. Abī Sufyān (661–680) and ended during the reign of Sulaymān b. ʿAbd al-Malik (715–717), when he began to build the city of Ramla. Sulaymān, apparently, did not share the adoration of Jerusalem which his father and brother had demonstrated before him.3

**Muslim Worship on the Ḥaram during the Umayyad Period**

Ritual ceremonies in Jerusalem in the Umayyad period (and later as well) were mainly concentrated on the Ḥaram. There are a number of early testimonies of these services, and they certainly confirm the trend developed and encouraged by the first Umayyad caliphs. Many of these rituals were performed in and around the Dome of the Rock (Qubbat al-Šakhra).

During the time of ʿAbd al-Malik, the Dome of the Rock was opened to the public on Mondays and Thursdays only (!); on the other days only the attendants entered. These attendants cleansed and purified themselves, changed their clothing, burned incense, and anointed the Rock with all kinds of perfume. Prayers were held after incense was burnt. Ten gatekeepers were responsible for each gate.4 During ʿAbd al-Malik’s reign, the Dome was coated with gold and the Rock was surrounded with an ebony balustrade, behind which—between the pillars—hung curtains woven with gold. Jews and Christians were employed in different services on the Ḥaram: they cleaned the dirt there, made glass for the lamps and goblets, and prepared wicks for the lamps. They were exempt from the poll tax and passed these tasks on as an inheritance.5 Apparently, the gatekeepers mentioned above do not refer to these same Jews or Christians.

Another early tradition says that there were thirty guards, one of whom belonged to the Anšār. Also serving on the Ḥaram were al-ʿAkhmiš, slaves of the caliph who belonged to the State Treasury as the fifth part (khums) of the booty or who were acquired by the Treasury on account of this khums.6

A chain hung from the middle of the Dome of the Rock. An interesting tradition relates that at the time of ʿAbd al-Malik a precious stone was suspended from this chain together with two horns of the ram sacrificed by
Abraham, and the crown of Kārā, king of Persia. According to another tradition, prior to the siege of Mecca by 'Abdallāh b. al-Zubayr in 683–684, the two horns of the ram sacrificed by Abraham in redemption of his son were hung in the Ka'ba. According to one version of the latter tradition, they were placed on the fence of the Ka'ba at the time it was built and renovated by Ibn al-Zubayr, and were shattered there.  

According to another tradition, prior to the siege of Mecca by 'Abdallah b. al-Zubayr in 683-684, the two horns of the ram sacrificed by Abraham in redemption of his son were hung in the Ka'ba. According to one version of the latter tradition, they were placed on the fence of the Ka'ba at the time it was built and renovated by Ibn al-Zubayr, and were shattered there.  

Less than ten years later, horns of the ram were allegedly found at the Dome of the Rock in Jerusalem.

Evidently, already in the Umayyad period there were a number of places venerated within the Dome of the Rock where the Muslims performed ritual ceremonies. Two of these, the Black Paving Stone (al-Balāṭa al-Sawdā') and the Gate of Iṣrā'il, were of special significance.

During the season of the ḥajj, the same ritual ceremonies were held on the Ḥaram as were held in Mecca. One interesting tradition, parts of which are unparalleled in the known sources, describes in great length the ritual ceremonies customary on the Ḥaram during the time of 'Abd al-Malik. The text is found in the book of Sibṭ b. al-Jawzī (1186–1256), Mirāt al-Zamān, which is still mainly in manuscript form.

**Analysis of Sibṭ b. al-Jawzī's Description**

Generally, the text can be divided into two parts. From the first part it is learned that the politico-religious situation, i.e., the struggle with 'Abdallāh b. al-Zubayr, drove 'Abd al-Malik to prevent Ahl-al-Shām from going to Mecca to perform the ḥajj and then build the Dome of the Rock as a replacement for the Ka’ba. The second part (much longer than the first) deals mainly with the actual building of the Dome of the Rock, its special attendants, the rituals held within, some physical characteristics of the Ḥaram, and in this connection the description of the building and renovations on the Ḥaram during the reign of the 'Abbāsid caliphs, al-Manṣūr (754–775) and al-Mahdi (775–786).

Many passages in the second part have almost identical parallels in the “Literature in Praise of Jerusalem” (Fadā‘īl Bayt al-Maqdis). The first part, which deals with the motives for the building of the Dome of the Rock, has almost no parallels in this genre. This description reported by Sibṭ b. al-Jawzī is much longer and detailed than the well-known tradition of al-Ya‘qūbī (d. 897), which was one of the main sources for scholars debating the reasons and circumstances for the erection of the Dome of the Rock.

Notably, while many identical parallels are found in Sibṭ b. al-Jawzī’s book and in the Fadā‘īl literature, the sources of Mirāt al-Zamān are al-Waqīdī (d. 823), Hishām b. al-Sā‘īb al-Kalbī (d. 764), and his son Muḥammad (d. 819), whereas the sources for this tradition in the Fadā‘īl books are a Jerusalem family.
The account of Ibn al-Jawzî has bearing upon some historical as well as historiographical problems. It has significant implications for the importance of Jerusalem during the Umayyad period. The importance of the Dome of the Rock and the reasons for its erection are also part of this vast problem. There is good reason to discount the objections of Goitein and adhere to the earlier contention of Goldziher that it was the struggle with Ibn al-Zubayr which caused ‘Abd al-Malik to build the Dome of the Rock and to attempt to divert the hajj from Mecca to Jerusalem. This in no way conflicts with what appears to have been two other important considerations in ‘Abd al-Malik’s development of the Haram: the association of the spot with the Last Days and with the Temple of Solomon.

Although the immediate cause for the construction of the Dome of the Rock and the attempt to divert the hajj from Mecca to Jerusalem may have been his struggle with Ibn al-Zubayr, ‘Abd al-Malik was also concerned with emphasizing the central place of Jerusalem, of the Haram and the Sakhra, within the religious landscape of early Islam. There is no contradiction in arguing that he built the Dome of the Rock on the site of the Temple of Solomon as a symbol of the Last Days and also as a rival to Mecca, which was then in the hands of his opponent Ibn al-Zubayr.

**Worship on the Haram after the Umayyad Period: The Wuqûf**

Additional testimonies on the performance of the *wuqûf* ceremonies in Jerusalem on the Haram come from later periods. Nāṣir-i Khusraw, who visited Jerusalem in the year 1047, describes the performance of *al-târif* opposite the Rock on the Haram, the offering of the ‘īd al-‘Adhā sacrifice on the Haram by those Muslims who were unable to make the pilgrimage to Mecca. Al-Tūrṭūshî, who was in Jerusalem in the last decade of the eleventh century, notes that on the day of ‘Arafat, in the mosque in Jerusalem, the people from that city and the neighboring villages stood in prayer with their faces turned to Mecca, raising their voices in *du‘ā* (prayers of request, invocations) as though they were standing before Mount ‘Arafat in Mecca.

In the year 1189 Šalâh al-Dîn traveled from Safad to Jerusalem for the explicit purpose of celebrating the holiday of the sacrifice there. Ibn Taymiyya (d. 1329) also tells of the existence of the *wuqûf* custom in Jerusalem. Toward the middle of the fourteenth century, ‘Alâ’ al-Dîn, Abû al-Ḥasan composed a poem (*qaṣīda*), whose verses blatantly condemn a number of the rituals held in Jerusalem which related to the Holy Rock and other places on the Haram. Muslims from Jerusalem and the adjacent areas, as well as pilgrims from all over the Muslim world, most certainly took part in the rituals held on the Haram in the course of their visit to the holy places in the city.
The Visit and Pilgrimage to Jerusalem and Its Holy Sites

*Christian and Jewish Pilgrims to the Holy Land*

There was a long and developed tradition of Christian worshippers visiting the Holy Land already before the early Muslim period (634–1099). Its roots were still deeply embedded in the early period of the Christian church, but the real impetus was in the days of Constantine, following the pilgrimage of his mother, Helena, to the Holy Land.

The pilgrims set out for the Holy Land with guidebooks which were widely circulated in the Christian world. One of the first guides, already heard of at the beginning of the fourth century, was Eusebius’ *Onomasticon*, “The Guide to the Land of the Bible.” There were short guides written for the Christian pilgrim at the beginning of the sixth century which were prepared for the pilgrim to carry about during his travels to the holy places and were already distributed to him at his place of origin in the West. A number of descriptions of Christian pilgrims’ routes in the Holy Land in the early Muslim period seem to follow such itineraries.

Many testimonies exist of pilgrimages by Jews to the Holy Land, especially from Syria but also from places farther away in the Muslim world. We have almost no information about Jewish pilgrimages from European countries during this period, which were ongoing whenever external circumstances made it possible. It is noteworthy that the first guidebook for the Jewish pilgrim to Jerusalem (and Palestine?) was most probably the one published by J. Braslavi from the eleventh century. Guidebooks for Jewish pilgrims to the Holy Land existed from the twelfth century on.

*Muslim Pilgrimage to the Holy Land*

We have no testimony during the early Muslim period for the existence of a guide for Muslim pilgrims to the Holy Land. The earliest-known book concerning the visits to the known Muslim holy sites (in the Islamic caliphate) dates to the ninth century. Three more treatises on this subject, dating to the end of the tenth century, are known, however none of them has survived. Their authors were Shi‘ites (apparently not by coincidence), and it is clear that they discussed, first and foremost, visiting the sites holy to the Shi‘ites.

*The Umayyad and Early ‘Abbāsid Periods*

From the beginning of the Umayyad period, Muslim visitors and pilgrims came to Jerusalem to pray in its holy places. A few very early testimonies of this have been collected and are cited here. However, even partial conclusions...
regarding the scope of the phenomenon cannot be drawn from them, nor are specific pilgrim itineraries or a complete list of the holy sites that pilgrims visited and prayed at given. What is known is that the places visited were concentrated mainly on the Ḥaram, and that the itinerary also included the Place of Prayer of David (Miḥrāb Dāwūd), the Spring of Silwān, the Valley of Gehenna (mainly the Church of Mary), and the Mount of Olives.

Many traditions were circulated in the Umayyad period in an attempt to encourage pilgrimage to Jerusalem and prayer there. These constitute a part of the "Traditions in Praise of Syria" (Fadā'il al-Shām). In addition, special traditions in praise of places in Jerusalem itself were circulated at the beginning of the Umayyad period as part of the Fadā'il literature, and this certainly encouraged pilgrimages and visits there. Thus, we have a rather early tradition that was circulated no later than the first quarter of the eighth century that "he who comes to Jerusalem and prays to the right of the Rock [on the Ḥaram] and to its north, and prays in the (holy) place (al-mawṣil) of the Chain, and gives a little or much charity, his prayers will be answered and God will remove his sorrows, and he will be freed of his sins as on the day his mother gave birth to him."25

Tradition has it that the Prophet "prayed" to the right of the Rock on the night of the isrā', and it was there that the Qubbat al-Nabī was later built.26 It should also be remembered that he who prays to the north of the Rock unites the two qiblas.27 As for the place of the Chain, this may mean Qubbat al-Silsila (or perhaps the chain that was suspended from the center of the Dome of the Rock).28

Another early tradition (the isnād concludes with Khālid b. Ma'dān, d. 103 or 104/721–722) encourages visits to the holy places in Jerusalem: "Whoever comes to Jerusalem must come to the eastern Miḥrāb Dāwūd and pray there and bathe in the spring, the Spring of Silwān, for it is one of the springs of Paradise, and he is not allowed to enter the churches and buy anything from there."29

The pilgrims came to Jerusalem from nearby locales (from Syria30 and from more distant regions. Some came in fulfillment of personal vows.31 Anyone who could not make the pilgrimage and pray in Jerusalem could send olive oil instead to illuminate the Mosque of Jerusalem.32 Goitein thinks that Jews and Christians also donated oil for illumination of the mosque.33

Some pilgrims came to Jerusalem before the season of the ḥajj in order to sanctify themselves and prepare themselves for the ḥajj or the ʿumra. This sanctification ceremony was called iḥrām or iḥlāl (meaning that the person sanctifying himself, the muhārīm, announced out loud his intention and readiness to enter into a state of iḥrām).

Early traditions, which can be dated back to at least the first quarter of the
second/eighth century, extol the sanctification of the hajj or the 'umra from Jerusalem.\textsuperscript{34} There is information on a number of important Muslim scholars who went up to Jerusalem to perform the ihram there before the hajj, namely 'Abdallah b. 'Umar (d. 74/694), 'Abdallah b. al-'Abbās (d. 58/677), Mahmūd b. al-Rabī', Abū Nu‘aym (d. 99/717), and a little later Wāṣi' b. al-Jarrāh (d. 812), who performed an ihram in Jerusalem.\textsuperscript{35} All these were famous people; some of them did not live either in Syria or Palestine. Obviously scholars and other residents of Palestine were also present on the Ḥaram during the ihram ceremony before the hajj, and it may be assumed that they constituted the majority of those sanctifying themselves. It is related, for example, that Šāliḥ b. Yūsuf, Abū Shu‘ayb, a resident of Palestine who died in Ramla in 282/890, performed the hajj ninety (or seventy) times, and each time he would perform the ihram from the Rock of Jerusalem [or the Ḥaram: min ṣakhra ṣayt Bayt al-Maqdis].\textsuperscript{36}

There is great virtue in combining the visit to Jerusalem with one to Hebron. All those who visit Jerusalem and afterwards go to the tomb of Abraham in Hebron will there recite five prayers; their requests from God will be granted and all their sins will be forgiven.\textsuperscript{37} Another tradition combined the pilgrimage to Mecca and the visit to al-Madīna with that to Jerusalem, praising and recommending prayer in the three mosques of these cities during the same year.\textsuperscript{38} Perhaps in this light one can understand the words of al-Muqaddasī (second half of the tenth century) who, when describing the Berbers in North Africa, says that there are very few of them who do not visit Jerusalem (wa-aqallu man lā yazīru Bayt al-Maqdis minhum).\textsuperscript{39} A rare testimony combining the pilgrimage to Mecca with the ziyyāra to Jerusalem is found in a poem by al-Mu'allā b. Ṭarīf, the mawla of Caliph al-Mahdi (775–786).

\begin{center}
Kāmil Muraffāl:
Yā šāhi inni qad ḥajaj / tu wa-zurtu Baya ti 'l-Maqdisi
Wa-dakhaltu Luddan 'āmidan / fi-'idi Māryā Jirjisi
Fa-ra'aytu fisī ni swatan /mithla 'z-zibā'ī 'l-kunnasi.
\end{center}

Translation:
Oh, my friend:
I have already performed the pilgrimage / and visited Jerusalem
And I entered [the city of] Lod intending to visit / the St. Georgius Festival
And I saw there women / who looked like gazelles gathering to their shelter.\textsuperscript{41}
Al-Mu’allā b. Tarīf visited Lod for the St. Georgius festival, one of the Christian festivals recognized by the Muslims in Palestine according to which they calculated the seasons of the year. The festival of Lod (‘Id Ludd) is the festival of the sowing season.\(^\text{42}\) Exactly when al-Mu’allā visited Jerusalem and Lod is not known, however it may have been at the time of al-Mahdi’s visit to Jerusalem in 163/780.\(^\text{43}\)

Jerusalem also constituted a unique center for the early ascetics and Muslim mystics, the zuhād, who developed and circulated the “Traditions in Praise of Jerusalem.” Some resided in the city and others made pilgrimages to it from all corners of the Muslim world. They often combined their visit to Jerusalem with visits to other border towns (ribāṭāt) in Palestine and other parts of the Muslim world.\(^\text{44}\)

The testimonies for visits to Jerusalem and its holy places are very early, but what the pilgrim’s itinerary was is not known, nor is there a full list of the holy sites which they visited or where they prayed. It was said of the well-known scholar al-Awzā’i (d. 157/774)\(^\text{45}\) that he prayed on the Haram with his back to the Rock, saying: “Thus did ʿUmar b. ʿAbd al-ʿAziz” (717–720). The transmitter of the tradition continues: “And al-Awzā’i did not come to any of the holy places which are generally visited.”\(^\text{46}\) It was also said of the scholar Wakī b. al-Jarrāḥ (d. 812) “that he did not visit a single one of the holy places [which it was customary to visit].”\(^\text{47}\) The latter two traditions are evidence of the controversy between the scholars in the second century of the hijra (eighth century of the Christian era) regarding the holiness of Jerusalem and the holy places there, especially of the Rock.\(^\text{48}\)

A tradition which emphasizes the controversy between the Muslim scholars on this question and, at the same time, offers evidence of the itinerary of the Muslim pilgrim at the end of the eighth century was reported by Ja’far b. Musāfir (d. Muḥarram 254/January, 868),\(^\text{49}\) who states:

I saw Mu’ammal b. Ismā’il (d. 206/821–822)\(^\text{50}\) in Jerusalem give [a small] amount of money to people (aʿtā qaʿman shayʿan) and they went round with him to those [holy] places (fī tilka ‘l-mawāḍiʿ). His son said to him: “Oh my father, Wakī b. al-Jarrāḥ has already entered Jerusalem? and he did not make a course [of the holy places].” [Mu’ammal] said: “Each person does as he pleases.”\(^\text{51}\)

Although specific places were not mentioned in the sources, from the evidence compiled of visits to the holy places in Jerusalem from the Umayyad period to the early ʿAbbāsid period, approximately to the year 800, it can safely be assumed that, first and foremost, they included sites on the Ḥaram, e.g.,
the Dome of the Rock, the Aqṣā Mosque, the Dome of the Prophet, the Dome of Ascension, and the Dome of the Chain. A number of gates on the Haram were surely included: the gates of Mercy, Ḥiṭṭa, the Divine Presence (Ṣakīna), the Tribes (al-Asbāṭ), and the Prophet. And, finally, there were additional places outside the Haram, such as Mīhrāb Dāwūd, the Spring of Silwān, and the Mount of Olives.\footnote{52}

The description by Ibn Kathir, who outlines the existing situation in the Umayyad period, informs us that visitors to Jerusalem saw pictures of al-Ṣirāt, Paradise, and other scenes connected with the Latter Days on the Haram. Ninth- and tenth-century geographers, Ibn al-Faqīḥ, Ibn ʿAbd Rabbihi, al-Muqaddasī, and also the Muslim traveler Nāṣir-i Khusraw, describe or mention numerous structures on the Haram, many of which were no doubt erected in the Umayyad period. It is difficult to determine exactly where they were located, since their names and locations changed over the years.\footnote{53}

The First Guide for the Muslim Pilgrim from the Beginning of the Eleventh Century

It has been clearly shown that from the earlier periods (seventh–ninth centuries) there is much evidence of pilgrimage and visits to the holy places in Jerusalem, especially on the Haram. The “Literature in Praise of Jerusalem” supplies much information concerning religious and learned men who dwelt in Jerusalem or who came to visit its holy sites, however at this stage of research it is very difficult to assess the nature and especially extent of these pilgrimages in the early Muslim period. It has already been stressed that a complete description of the pilgrim’s stops during this period is lacking and we know of no guidebook for the Muslim traveler from this early period.

It was only in the early eleventh century that a complete and detailed itinerary of visits to the Muslim holy places in Jerusalem was recorded by Ibn al-Murajjā. It is the first of its kind known,\footnote{54} and its influence is well attested in the late compilations of the “Literature in Praise of Jerusalem.” Some of these late authors copy the itinerary almost verbatim, while others present only parts of it. A few scholars mentioned this guidebook and briefly stressed its importance.\footnote{55} A separate discussion was dedicated to it by Livne.\footnote{56}

Ibn al-Murajjā describes more than twenty recommended sites in Jerusalem. In some of them the Muslim must pray and in other places he need only perform the invocation or combine prayers with invocations. Livne came to the conclusion that the majority of these prayers have no direct link to the specific places in which they are said. Prayers and invocations are already found in the early compilations of hadith and in the early adab literature; some of the prayers “give the impression that they paraphrase some verses from the
Bible, especially from Psalms.” The prayers which have a special link to places in Jerusalem are those said in Miḥrāb Dāwūd (sūrat ṣād) and Miḥrāb Maryam (sūrat Maryam). Summarizing this topic, Livne concludes that the prayers were probably compiled artificially by Ibn al-Murajjā himself.57

**Dating the Guide of Ibn al-Murajjā**

Unlike most of the traditions in Ibn al-Murajjā’s book, which can be dated to a much earlier period with the help of the isnād, the traditions in the “Muslim Guide” (except for the prayers) are not preceded by an isnād. Therefore, it appears that Ibn al-Murajjā composed the guide himself during the first half of the eleventh century. It is highly probable, however, that this itinerary, or a similar one, was known to visitors to the holy places in Jerusalem already at the beginning or middle of the tenth century. This can be deduced from an interesting tradition, recorded by Ibn al-Murajjā with an isnād which concluded with Ābi Muḥammad, ‘Abdallāh b. Muḥammad al-Khūl [?], relating that on the tenth of Muḥarram, in the year 335 [= 12th August, 946], he had a dream wherein he visited the holy places on the Ḥaram in Jerusalem. In this dream he visited:

1. The Dome of the Rock. Within the Dome.
2. The Black Paving Stone (al-Balaṭa al-Sawda’). Then to:
3. The Dome of the Ascension (of the Prophet) to Heaven (Qubbat al-Mi‘rāj).
4. The Dome of the Prophet (Qubbat al-Nabi).
5. The Gate (Bāb) of Hiṭṭa.
6. The Cradle of Jesus (Mahd ʿĪsā) and Miḥrāb Maryam.
7. Miḥrāb Zakariyyā’.
8. The Gate of Mercy (Bāb al-Ḥaḥma).
9. Al-Masjid al-Aqṣā.58

All these sites, and several others, are mentioned (though not in this order) by Ibn al-Murajjā.59 This tradition most probably testifies to the existence of an itinerary to the holy places on the Ḥaram. Its purpose is most probably to reinforce and praise their sanctity.60

**Stops in the Visitors’ Itinerary to the Holy Places in Jerusalem, according to Ibn al-Murajjā**

1. The Dome of the Rock (Qubbat al-Ṣakhra). Within the Dome of the Rock the Muslim should pray in the following holy places:
1a. The Black Paving Stone (al-Balāṭa al-Sawdā').
1b. The Cave under the Rock.
1c. Maqām al-Nabī. Then the Muslim must turn toward the east, stand and pray at the eastern gate of the Dome of the Rock, which is:
1d. The Gate of [the Angel] Isrā'īl (Bāb Isrā'īl). Then he goes out of the Dome towards:
2. The Dome of the Chain (Qubbat al-Silsila). Then to:
3. The Dome of Ascension [of the Prophet] to Heaven (Qubbat al-Miʿrāj). Then to:
4. The Dome of the Prophet (Qubbat al-Nabī). Then to:
5. The Gate of Mercy (Bāb al-Rahmah). Then to:
6. Miḥrāb Zecharia (Zakariyyāʾ). Then to:
7. Solomon's Chair (Kursī Sulaymān), which is located on a rock at the backside [= the southwest] of the mosque [i.e., the Ḥaram]. Then he goes on toward:
8. The Gate of the Shekhina (Bāb al-Sakīna). Then to:
9. The Gate of Ḥiṭṭa. Then to:
10. Al-Masjid al-Aqṣā. Within the Mosque the Muslim should pray in:
   10a. Miḥrāb ʿUmar.
   10b. Miḥrāb Muʿawiya.
   10c. All the miḥrābs within the Mosque. Then he ought to descend to:
11. The Gate of the Prophet (Bāb al-Nabī). Then he continues toward:
12. Miḥrāb Maryam, also known as the Cradle of Jesus (Mahd ʿĪsā). From there he goes down to:
13. The place which the Angel Gabriel drilled with his finger and tied up al-Burāq. From this place the Muslim can ascend to:
14. Al-Sāhibra, which is the Mount of Olives (Ṭūr Sīnā [= Ṭūr Zaytā],61 or enter:
15. Miḥrāb Dāwūd, which is [located] at the western gate of the city.
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